IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

WILLIAM H. BOOZE, IV,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	C.A. No. 07-82-GMS
CORRECTIONAL MEDICAL SERVIC) CES,)	
INC., et al,)	
Defendants.)	

WARDEN THOMAS CARROLL'S RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S APPLICATION FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

Warden Thomas Carroll, by and through undersigned counsel, hereby urges this Honorable Court pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure Rule 65 to deny Plaintiff's application for injunctive relief. To support this opposition for injunctive relief, Warden Carroll responds as follows:

FACTUAL BACKGROUNDAND PROCEDURAL HISTORY

- 1. On or about February 13, 2007 William H. Booze, IV, Plaintiff, currently incarcerated at the Delaware Correctional Center ("DCC"), filed a *pro se* civil rights complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 against prison officials and medical care providers. Specifically, he is suing Inmate Classification Administrator Anthony Rendina, Staff Lieutenant James P. Satterfield, and DCC Disciplinary Hearing Officer Ralph Heverin. In addition to naming prison officials as defendants, plaintiff identifies several Correctional Medical Services ("CMS") medical personnel he alleges made verbal threats against him and refused to provide medical treatment.
 - 2. On or about April 20, 2007, Plaintiff wrote a letter motion for injunctive

relief requiring "CMS and its staff to stop threatening and harressing (sic) me, to issue my nitro and pain-off pills." Respondent Carroll denies Plaintiff's claims of retaliation and offers this response in opposition to Plaintiff's motion seeking injunctive relief.

LEGAL STANDARD

- Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 65(a) sets out the standard to bring 3. into play injunctive relief. "[T]he grant of injunctive relief is an 'extraordinary remedy, which should be granted only in limited circumstances." Instant Air Freight Co. v. C.F. Air Freight, Inc., 882 F.2d 797, 800 (3d Cir.1989) (quoting Frank's GMC Truck CTR., v. Gen. Motors Corp., 847 F.2d 100, 102 (3d Cir. 1988)). The decision to grant or deny a motion for injunctive relief rests with the sound discretion of the district judge. Eli Lilly & Co. v. Premo Pharmaceutical Laboratories, Inc., 630 F.2d 120, 136 (3d Cir. 1978).
- 4. The purpose of injunctive relief is to address a presently existing threat. Continental Group, Inc. v. Amoco Chem. Corp., 614 F.2d 351, 359 (3d Cir. 1980) (quoting *Holiday Inns of Am., Inc. v. B & B Corp.*, 409 F.2d 614, 618 (3d Cir. 1969). Therefore, the party seeking an injunction must demonstrate that the threatened injury is immediate.
- 5. In order to grant injunctive relief, the court must be sufficiently satisfied that the party seeking relief has demonstrated a reasonable probability of success on the merits; immediate and irreparable injury will suffer if relief is denied; a grant of relief will not result in greater harm to the other party; and the public interest will be served by the grant of injunctive relief. Clear Ocean Action v. York, 57 F.3d 328, 331 (3d Cir. 1995); Maldonado v. Houstoun, 157 F.3d 179, 184 (3d Cir. 1998). "All four preliminary injunction factors should favor preliminary relief before injunction will issue." S & R

Corp. v. Jiffy Lube Inter., Inc., 968 F.2d 371, 374 (3d Cir. 1992).

PLAINTIFF CANNOT SHOW LIKELIHOOD OF SUCCESS ON THE MERITS OF THE RETALIATION CLAIM

- The United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit defined the elements of a prisoner's cause of action for retaliation and the burden he must carry to succeed on that claim. See Rauser v. Horn, 241 F.3d 330 (3d Cir. 2001). The court established a three prong test to determine whether retaliation has occurred. The first Rauser prong requires a prisoner to prove that he engaged in constitutionally protected conduct which led to the alleged retaliation. In keeping with the *Rauser* analysis, the second prong requires the prisoner to show that he has suffered some adverse action at the hands of prison officials. The third Rauser factor requires the prisoner to establish a causal link between the constitutional right exercised, and the adverse action taken against him. Rauser, 241 F.3d at 333 (citing Allah v. Seiverling, 229 F.3d 220, 225 (3d. Cir. 2000)). If the plaintiff can show that the constitutionally protected conduct "was a substantial or motivating factor in the challenged decision, prison officials may still prevail by proving that they would have made the same decision absent the protected conduct for reasons reasonably related to a legitimate penological interest." *Id.* at 334.
- 7. Indeed, a prisoner has a constitutional due process right of access to the courts. Bounds v. Smith, 430 U.S. 817 (1977); Lewis v. Casev, 518 U.S. 343 (1996). In the instant case, assuming arguendo, Plaintiff has demonstrated he has engaged in constitutionally protected conduct leading to his claim of retaliation, he must demonstrate that actions taken by the defendants are the result of retaliatory motivation.
- 8. Plaintiff's conduct leading to his claim of retaliation begins with his filing of a lawsuit on February 13, 2007. He alleges that he "has been threatened by Dr. Dale

Rogers . . . nurse Gani Neal, nurse Rebecca Vliet, and other CMS staff." He further states, "nurse Neal and Dr. Rogers informed me that since I wish to sue, they would 'fix my ass' and nurse Neal and Vliet had the building Sgt. Remove all medications from my quarters." Plaintiff pleads with the court to issue an order "telling CMS and its staff to stop threating (sic) and harressing (sic) me, to issue my nitro and pain-off pills."

- 9. On or about May 9, 2007, a CMS medical doctor (signature illegible), wrote a medical status report on Mr. Booze, plaintiff, which describes plaintiff's current medical conditions and recommended medication list. See Correctional Medical Services Inter Disciplinary Progress Notes attached as Exhibit "A". Notwithstanding Plaintiff's need for extensive medical treatment, and his fraught requests for medication, he repeatedly refused to accept necessary medical treatment and recommendations. See Correctional Medical Services Release of Responsibility attached 10 pages as Exhibit "B". Given the details of plaintiff's medical history and treatment, coupled with plaintiff's blatant refusal to take his prescribed medication, he cannot demonstrate a violation of his Eighth Amendment right to adequate medical care has occurred. See Estelle v. Gamble, 429 U.S. 97, 106 (1976); accord White v. Napolean, 897 F.2d 103, 109 (3d Cir. 1990). There is nothing in the record to show that prison officials acted with intent or recklessness evidencing a deliberate indifference to plaintiff's medical needs. See Estelle, 429 U.S. at 105. "Where the plaintiff has received some care, inadequacy or impropriety of the care that was given will not support an Eighth Amendment claim." *Norris v. Frame*, 585F.2d 1183, 1186 (3d Cir. 1978).
- 10. One year earlier on May 13, 2006, during medication administration pass, Plaintiff falsely reported to the nursing staff that he was without medication. See

Page 5 of 11

Incident Report #1032430 attached as Exhibit "C". He presented nurse Vliet with empty medicine bottles, cards and boxes to support his claim. Id. Recognizing that plaintiff was abusing his privileges in the "KOP" program for self-medication, "all medication [was] confiscated and returned to the medical department. The nurses will now be given him his medication each day." Id. The medical staff took immediate action to ensure there is no confusion over medication administered and the appropriate dosages. Id. Such measures prevent a risk of harm to plaintiff, and others who potentially could find and ingest the pills. Id. For example, permitting plaintiff to have the security staff inform nurses that he is out of medications may result in him not receiving the proper medications which have been ordered. See Exhibit C. In essence, Plaintiff engaged in the dangerous practice of hoarding medications for improper and illegal purposes. Id. As a result, the medical staff required that all of Plaintiff's medications were to be administered by the medical staff on a daily basis. Id.

- 11. Plaintiff has failed to produce any evidence that Warden Carroll or any security staff at Delaware Correctional Center interfered with plaintiff's right to access the courts. Moreover, he has failed to demonstrate that prison officials took adverse action against him for pursuing legal redress. Yet, he urges the court to prevent defendants from retaliating against him. (D.I. 8).
- 12. There is no sufficient record evidence that prison officials have refused appropriate accommodations for Plaintiff's access to court needs. To the contrary, a review of the District Court docket confirms that plaintiff has the ability to access the court. Clearly, the record does not support that Plaintiff was denied access to legal research, legal documents or access to the law library. In fact, all inmates regardless of

Page 6 of 11

their housing units or medical conditions are eligible to access the library services free of charge. See Michael Little, Legal Services Administrator Affidavit attached as Exhibit "D" with attachment 1.

- 13. Plaintiff cannot demonstrate that any constitutionally protected conduct was a "substantial or motivating factor" in the alleged retaliatory conduct. Contrary to claims of retaliatory adverse treatment, any actions to remove Plaintiff's medications from his cell after he abused his privileges and placed himself and fellow inmates' health and safety at risk, were reasonably related to legitimate penological objectives. The inmate rules of conduct identify creating a health, safety or fire hazard as a class one disciplinary infraction. Lying and possession of non-dangerous contraband are class two offenses. All disciplinary infractions may be subject to disciplinary action. (See Disciplinary Report # 1024975 attached as Exhibit "E").
- 14. In the instant case, Plaintiff acknowledges that he has utilized the law library services to research and prepare legal documents. However, to engage in such practices as lying about the administration of medications in an effort to obtain an excess of the required dosages is clearly an abuse of a prisoner's self-medication privileges afforded Plaintiff, and a blatant disregard for institutional rules and regulations. Plaintiff's use of his serious medical condition to obtain more medication than is prescribed certainly creates great health and safety risks within the prison administration. Exhibit C. Prison administrators have a legitimate interests in managing institutional security and safety. Turner v. Safely, 482 U.S. 78, 89 (1987). To curtail abuses of selfmedication privileges, as is evident in this case, enforcement of the inmate rules of conduct is necessary. So far, Plaintiff seeks to invoke the court's power to issue an

Page 7 of 11

extraordinary writ as injunctive relief merely to compel the prison officials to comply with his ever unreasonable demands for unregulated medication administration.

- 15. Although Plaintiff must satisfy this second requirement by indicating the action was sufficient to deter a person of ordinary firmness from exercising his constitutional right, Warden Carroll contends that his staff has taken no action against Plaintiff to deter his pursuit of his lawsuit. Moreover, there is no record evidence sufficient to support Plaintiff's claim that retaliatory action was taken against him.
- 16. Initially, Plaintiff must show that his constitutionally protected conduct was a substantial or motivating factor in the decision to discipline him or retaliate against him. Mount Healthy Bd. of Ed. v. Doyle, 429 U.S. 274, 287 (1977). However, under the burden-shifting framework used to decide whether retaliation occurred, Defendants must then prove by a preponderance of the evidence that they would have taken the same action even in the absence of the protected activity. Rauser, 241 F. 3d at 333. The Turner Court, considering the difficult tasks of prison administration, held that a prison regulation that impinges on the constitutional rights of an inmate is valid if it is "reasonably related to legitimate penological interests." 482 U.S. at 89. Thus, Plaintiff must at the outset prove that his exercise of a constitutional right was a substantial or motivating factor in the challenged decision. However prison officials may refute this claim by showing that they would have acted in the same manner absent the protected conduct for reasons reasonably related to valid penological interests. Upon such a showing, they must prevail over Plaintiff's retaliation claims.
- 17. In the beginning, Plaintiff describes the adverse action taken by the prison officials, specifically medical staff as a refusal to permit him self-medication privileges.

This refusal, Plaintiff claims, is an effort to prevent him from pursuing his lawsuit. (D.I. 8). The challenged action of removing medications from plaintiff's cell is merely a legitimate penological interest to control and manage the prisons safety and security. See James Satterfield, Lieutenant, Affidavit attached as Exhibit "F".

PLAINTIFF CANNOT MAKE A CLEAR SHOWING OF IRREPARABLE HARM

- As has been pointed out above, plaintiff has refused to take medication as prescribed and directed. See Incident Report #1039652 attached as Exhibit "G". He appears to elicit the court's intervention to decide his medication administration.

 Specifically, Plaintiff suggests to the District Court that he is able to administer his medication without the assistance of the medical staff if the court grants him injunctive relief. (D.I. 8). Plaintiff seeks to encourage the court to disregard the judgment of medical authorities and the prison authorities. In essence, he seeks to dictate the prison administrators' ability to regulate an inmate's medical care.
- 19. All the same, to succeed, Plaintiff must demonstrate that the threatened injury is immediate and clearly shown. *See*, *New Jersey Hosp. Ass'n v. Waldman*, 73 F.3d 509, 512 (3d Cir. 1995) (quoting *Merchant & Evans, Inc. v. Roosevelt Bldg. Prods.*, 963 F.2d 628, 932-33 (3d Cir. 1992)). Plaintiff has failed to provide any evidence to demonstrate that he has suffered any actual injury from the removable of medication from his cell or the medical treatment that he subsequently received under the supervision of DCC's medical staff.
- 20. Lastly, the Plaintiff does not consider the effect of the injunction on other interested persons as well as the public interest in general. <u>Id.</u>

CONCLUSION

Based on the reasons set forth above, Warden Carroll contends that the Plaintiff has not demonstrated an adequate showing to warrant the extraordinary relief that he is requesting. Therefore, respondent respectfully requests the Court deny Plaintiff's motion for injunctive relief.

STATE OF DELAWARE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

/s/ Ophelia M. Waters
Ophelia M. Waters, I.D. No. 3879
Deputy Attorney General
820 North French Street, 6th Floor
Wilmington, Delaware 19801
(302) 577-8400
ophelia.waters@state.de.us
Attorney for State Defendants

DATE: May 14, 2007

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

WILLIAM H. BOOZE, IV,)
Plaintiff,)
v.) C.A. No. 07-82-GMS
CORRECTIONAL MEDICAL SERVINC., et al,	VICES,))
Defendants.))
	<u>ORDER</u>
AND NOW, this day of	, 2007, upon consideration of
plaintiff's Motion for Injunction and t	the Response of Warden Thomas Carroll and
Correctional Medical Services, IT IS	HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's Motion for
Injunction is DENIED.	
-	United States District Judge

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on May 14, 2007, I electronically filed State Defendants' Answer in Opposition to Plaintiff's Application for Injunctive Relief with the Clerk of Court using CM/ECF. I hereby certify that on May 14, 2007, I have mailed by United States Postal Service, the document to the following non-registered participant:

William H. Booze, Inmate SBI # 00256158 Delaware Correctional Center 1181 Paddock Road Smyrna, DE 19977

Megan T. Mantzavinos, Esq. Marks, O'Neill, O'Brien & Courtney, P.C. 913 North Market Street, Suite 800 Wilmington, DE 19801

/s/ Ophelia M. Waters

Deputy Attorney General Department of Justice 820 N. French Street, 6th Floor Wilmington, DE 19801 (302) 577-8400 ophelia.waters@state.de.us

EXHIBIT A

Correctional Medical Services Inter Disolphinary Progress Notes

Date	Time	Notes	Signatur
9/0	905	To whom this may concern,	
-/	1	This is a medical status report a	n
		Mr. 130036.	
		He is a GI year ald man with the	
		Lollowing medical problems	
		1º 1º thusothyroidism	
		2. Large Thursid Goiter - causing	
		Compressible signiftons	
1		130 hypertension	-
-	-	H' Colonary astery disease: My	
-		infant X3 to past / coronary ante	247
-	-	Ogpasa	
		I Right Chest wall mass.	1
+	-	7° Medadeen - ? Migraine	+
-	1	milicate 1. 11 -	1:
+		1' Synthroid 0.75 daily	-
-	-	2º Cycerin Driam	1
1		30 artificial Tears	
+		40 Ccasa 81 un daile	
1		50 OTM HUM BiD!	
1		. 6' Cu wash - irrigation un.	
		to Komessor 25 nn daily	
		8 Nithogherin parth 0.4mg/h >8kme.	of du
	T	gul Selsun slamos.	1
T		10° Hutrin 2 nu lis	1

Correctional Medical Services Inter Disciplinary Progress Notes

Dafe 1	line	Notes	Signature
		11º AtDointment	
		12° Dilateral Knee sleeves -	-
_		13º Caedrin Mi haine pro	
		140 Loin 10 us les (just started).	
		Kecent and ongoing in bestigation:	
		1º schidulina for total thyroidector	Us
		and excisional siopsing of	
1		Kight diest wall mass.	
1		20 Cardiology: In pre of assesson	nuct
1	_	N-06-08-071	
1	-	YVI Paris in the p	
+	-	Mr Booze's "Kop" printege was cancel	
+	+	on 8/2006 Decause of "hoarding" no	edian
	-	Mr. Boose is seen in Chronic Can	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
+	-	Clinic: last most m 4/10/07. Mr.	-
+	+	did sum to have some Jaranaca)
+	+	illenting the was reserved to	·
+	1	Mental-Health An assessment	£-
1	+	Transce de la constant de la constan	
1	1	I hope this is to your sales face	in
	1		. 1
		A/mm md	
		5/9/2007	
1	T		

EXHIBIT B

CORRECTIONAL MEDICAL SERVICES RECEIPT FOR MEDICAL PRODUCT

Inmate Name: Room o Warning	1D 3 20111
Institution: 055	
Medical Product: En Parel	Date Received: 4(17/0)

I verify that I have received the medical product named above. I understand I am fully responsible for the care of this Item. I further understand that I may be required to pay for any repair or replacement.

Inmate Signature

Signature of Health Care Staff Dispensing Product

CMS Reviewed 10/03 Sample s-J-G11-Receipt for Medical Product





CORRECTIONAL MEDICAL SERVICES RELEASE OF RESPONSIBILITY

·	· ·	
		ac ·
Boogs William	- alula	
Name of Inmate	Date/Time	*
200100	_	
Inmate ID Number / Date of Birth		
Haurald to Montober & Date or print	, .	7
I hereby refuse to accept the following	ng treatment/recommendations;	£.
Eye Palet	-	,
	,	
Lacknowledge I have been fully inform	med of and understand the above treatm	nents or recommendations a
• • •	eby release and agree to hold hamnless	
employees and agents from all respon	nsibility and ill effect, which may result fi	om this action.
refused to the	4/17/07	
	1	
Inchette Signature	Date/Time	
Dat rell		
Witness		
		· . · · .
•	d the listed medical treatment/recomme	ndations and the many
sign this form.		
Staras A		
Vitness		
Vitness		

Date/Time



\$50004TZ\$ \$555,00 }	AND THE PROPERTY OF SELVICES	
Considered the public state of	RELEASE OF RESPONSIBILITY	
Boose, wellow	3 22 07	
Name of Inmate	Date/Time	*
256.158		,
Inmate ID Number / Date of Birth		
I hereby refuse to accept the follow	6.60 Pag	
•		
	,	,
the risk(s) involved in refusing. I her	med of and understand the above treatments or recommenteby release and agree to hold harmless Correctional Mediconsibility and ill effect, which may result from this action.	
Inmate Signature	Date/Time	
VVitness		
The aforementioned inmate has refuse	ed the listed medical treatment/recommendations and	
sign this form.		
Joseph 3/27	267 1600	
Vitness		

Witness

Date/Time



CORRECTIONAL MEDICAL SERVICES

RELEASE OF RESPONSIBILITY

C		
BOOSE, William	02-14-07	
Name of Inmate	Date/Time	
256158	2212	
Inmate ID Number / Date of Birth		
		÷
I hereby refuse to accept the following	medication States 1	do not
Want he was	Kug up at this time	of the m
7		
I acknowledge I have been fully inform	med of and understand the above treatments or recom	mendations and
the risk(s) involved in refusing. I here	eby release and agree to hold harmless Correctional M	edical Services, its
employees and agents from all respon	nsibility and ilt effect, which may result from this action	
	02-14-07	
Inmate Signature	Date/Time	
imate organical c	, ,	,
Witness	9	
The eforementioned lamate has refuse	ed the listed medical treatment/recommendations and	has refreed to
sign this form.	en the laten it kannan neamonn accounting and	1123 16:0504 10
*		

Date/Time;



CORRECTIONAL MEDICAL SERVICES

RELEASE OF RESPONSIBILITY

Date/Time

Name of Inmate

Inmate ID Number / Datis of Birth

I hereby refuse to accept the following treatment/recommendations:

0.75mg, EdASA-8/ng

I acknowledge I have been fully informed of and understand the above treatments or recommendations and the risk(s) involved in refusing. Thereby release and agree to hold harmless Correct and Medical Services, its employees and agents from all rest onsibility and ill effect, which may result from this action.

Inmate Signature

Date/Time

Witness

The aforementioned immage has refused the listed medical treatment/recommendation is and has refused to sign this form

Date/Time

YAAA	\cup
Considerate Seldmes Transform	

CORRECTIONAL MAL SERVICES

Compagning Mighty Survivo	RELEASE OF RESPONSIBILITY	
Boone William	2/27/07	
Name of Inmate	Date/Time	
SEC IKY		
Inmate ID Number / Date of Birth		
I hereby refuse to accept the following to	eatment/recommendations;	
CTMUN		*
the risk(s) involved in refusing. I hereby r	of and understand the above treatments or elease and egree to hold harmless Correct; lifty and ill effect, which may result from this	nal Medical Services, its
refused to lig.		-
Inmate Signature	Date/Time	
Witness		
AMERICAN TO THE CONTROL OF THE CONT	ne listed medical treatment/recommendation	s and has refused to
sign this form.		
Withess		
Witness	*	
Date/Time		2

RELEASE OF RESPONSIBILITY Name of Inmate Date/Time 256158 Inmate ID Number / Date of Birth

t hereby refuse to accept the following treatment/recommendations: # S neols

Lacknowledge I have been fully informed of and understand the above treatments or ecommandations and the risk(s) involved in refusing. I hereby release and agree to hold harmless Correct, mail Medical Services, its employees and agents from all rest onsibility and ill effect, which may result from this action.

Inmate Slabature Oste/Time

The aforementioned inmate has refused the listed medical treatment/recommendation 3 and has refused to

sign this form.

Witness

Date/Time

7120 Rev 09/01/2004

-07 7239---P. 70-

Witness



CORRECTIONAL MEDICAL SERVICES RELEASE OF RESPONSIBILITY

7			v	
Booge William	rolalis			
Name of Inmate	Date/Time			
821128				
Inmate ID Number / Date of Birth				
I hereby refuse to accept the following treatme	enUtecommendations:	91		
Com you po DUS:			,	
	,			1.1

peters	2/1/27			3
Inmate Signature	Date/Time			5
		,		
Witness	(*)			
			•	
The aforementioned inmate has refused the list	ted medical treatment/rec	commendati	ons and has	refused to
slgn this form,				
				¥
to cat in		á.		
Witness				
Jeroma Salato.				
Witness				
Date/Time _y				

MAY. 9, 2007-12:06FM CMS

45.7239 VO. 7239

200 1.07 07 00002 GMG	
CORRECTIONAL IL. SERVIC! S RELEASE OF RESPONSIBILITY	
Baoge William 2/22/07	
Name of Inmate Date/Time	
256 158 12/20/46	
Inmate ID Number / Date of Birth	
HILLIAN TO THE PARTY OF LAND	
I hereby refuse to accept the following treatment/recommendations:	
hytin 2ng	_
Com you	_
	_
Lacknowledge (have been fully informed of and understand the above treatments or recommendations and	
the risk(s) involved in refusing. I hereby release and agree to hold harmless Correct, nal Medical Services, it	ts
employees and agents from all rest onsibility and ill effect, which may result from this action.	
refused to Suga - 2/22/07	
Inmate Signature Date/Time	
Jarealy	
Witness	
The aforementioned inmate has refused the listed medical treatment/recommendation is and has refused to sign this form.	
1 -1	

Witness

Date/Time



CORRECTIONAL AL MERVICES RELEASE OF RESPONSIBILITY

Booge William	2/28/07	
Name of Inmate	Date/Time	
821226		
Inmate ID Number / Date of Birth		
I hereby refuse to accep; the following treatment	Vrecommendations:	
We tour		
CTMHne		
i acknowledge I have been fully informed of and o		
the risk(s) involved in refusing. I hereby release a employees and agents from all rest onsibility and		
employees and agains man an real onsoming and	At ones, which thay toout hour bills	nototi.
refused to sage		~
Inmate Signature	Date/Time	
Witness		
. The aforementioned immate has refused the listed	medical treatrient/recommendatics	and has refused to
sign this form.	istendium a demicator a soldisti las sameini	y and his valuese to
Jan woodn		
NAS-		
Witness	. i	
and ac		
Winess	55.	

-MAV. 9. 2007-12:06FM--- CMS

7120 Rev 09/01/2004

Date/Time

EXHIBIT C

Case 1:07-cv-00082-GMS

medication each day.

Document 16-4

Filed 05/14/2007

Page 2 of 2

Date: 05/08/2007

Incident# 1032430 DCC Delaware Correctional Center
Smyrna Landing Road

SMYRNA DE, 19977 Phone#: 302-653-9261

INCIDENT REPORT

Group#: N/A	Type: Inmate Involved	Incident D	oate: 05/14/20	06 Time: 09:30	Confidential: No
Facility: DCC D	elaware Correctional Center			Follo	wup Required : No
Associated Dis	ciplinary Report #(s) 1024975				
Incident Location	on: Bldg.22 C Tier				
Location Descr	iption: Cell Lower 12				
Violated Condit	ions: 2.03/200.106 Creating a Health,	Safety or Fire I	Hazard		
	2.10/200.213 Lying	-			
	2.13/200.111 Possession of Nor	n-Dangerous Co	ntraband		
Description of I	ncident:				
amount to self manother 30 days stopped more thowever, he corresearched by noredications which Mr. Booze is hos	ty days of one such medication was a nedicate through today. Additionally, as of four other medications. Some of the name of t	the medication of the medication of the medication of the medication of the medication of the medication of the medicati	dical documer is Mr. Booze informed of to out of these nontinue may red d Medical state	ntation, I/M Booze shound claims he is supposed the changes by nursing the changes. These class in Mr. Booze not for continually being toles.	uld still have at least of to be getting were g staff at that time, ims then have to be receiving the proper d these lies. Also, if
	Injured Persons	Hospitalized		Nature Of Inju	ries
N/A		N/A	N/A		
	all medication were confinscated and	returned to the			ed: <u>N/A</u>
Discovered By:	, N/A		Secured I	By:, N/A_	
Type of Force U Restraints Used Immediate Actio Lt. Satterfield was	on Taken: s notified and report was written.			HER [] CAPSTUN	N [X] NONE
		ndividuals Invo			
Person Code	Name		SBI#		itle
Staff	Rebecca, Vliet		N/A	Contractors - Medical	
nmate	William, Booze H		00256158	N/A	
Reporting Office	er: Vliet, Rebecca (Contractors - Medic	cal) Er	ntered By: Vii	et, Rebecca (Contracto	ors - Medical)
	A	pproval Inform	ation		
X Approved	Disapproved Date: 05/14/2006	Approved by:	Satterfield. Ja	mes P (Staff Lt./Lt)	

Comments: all medication were confinscated and returned to the medical department the nurses will now be given him his

EXHIBIT D

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

WILLIAM H. BOOZE, IV,	
Plaintiff,	
v.)	C.A. No. 07-82-GMS
CORRECTIONAL MEDICAL SERVICES,) INC., et al,	
Defendants.)	

AFFIDAYIT OF MICHAEL LITTLE

- I, Michael Little, Legal Services Administrator having been duly sworn according to the law, do hereby depose and state the following:
- I am employed by the State of Delaware, Department of Correction at the Delaware Correctional Center ("DCC") near Smyrna, Delaware as Legal Services Administrator. I have been employed by the Department of Correction for 17 years. My duties include supervision of all law libraries at DCC. I have held this position since April 18, 2004.
- In response to a request from the State of Delaware Department of Justice, I have obtained documentation relating to the frequency of inmate William Booze's SBI #256158 law library uses, the number of uses of the prison's notary, and the frequency and volume of copied pages of legal material provided to Mr. Booze by the Delaware Correctional Center.
- Attached hereto as Exhibit 1, is a printed log of Mr. Booze's Maximum Housing Unit (MHU) Law Library Requests. It is broken down by dates with a description of the materials and services requested, along with those items received. It was generated by a

review of the law library requests documentation. This log shows that during the period between April1, 2006 through May 2007, Mr. Booze requested and received MHU law library services 49 times. In addition to the MHU library requests, Mr. Booze received paralegal assistance from the Main Law Library 0 times and has obtained the use of the prison notary 1 time. Mr. Booze has made 10 requests and received 370 photocopies of legal materials from the previously mentioned time period. This number does not reflect the number of pages of case law materials which have been provided to Mr. Booze. The use of law library facilities, including the use of a notary and photocopying is provided to inmates free of charge.

- Mr. Booze continues to have access to the above-listed legal resources.
- 5. I have made these statements based upon my personal knowledge, specialized training, and experience as an employee of the Department of Correction.

Michael Little

SWORN TO AND SUBSCRIBED BEFORE ME THIS 14th DAY OF May

2007.

NOTARY

ATTACHMENT

Filed 05/14/2007



STATE OF DELAWARE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION DELAWARE CORRECTIONAL CENTER Legal Services Administrator Smyrna Landing Road SMYRNA, DELAWARE 19977 Telephone: (302) 653-9261 Fax: (302) 659-6687

May 14, 2007

Law Library Usage for William Booze #256158

During the time period in question MHU Law Library operated two different ways, the first being by scheduled Law Library appointments, the second by mail requests only. During the time period that MHU Law Library was scheduled appointments I/M William Booze's #256158 breakdown of Law Library useage was as follows:

Month	No. Scheduled Appointments	No. No Shows	Activity
April	7	0	Almanac, Title 11, Notary, Research
May	9	2*	SB 220, HB 210, Title 11, Title 16
June	9	1	Almanac, Cases(+4), FRCP, Crt Frms,
			paper
July	7	0	Photocopies, Cases (+4), Almanac, Title 11,
			Cases (+4), paper, Cases (+4)
August	8	3	Almanac, Crt Forms, photocopies,
September	3	2*	Photocopies, Court forms
October	9	0	Crt Forms, Blacks Law Dict., photocopies, Cases (+4), HB 210, TIS Benchbook, Title
		1	11, Citebook, Atl Digest 2d. 21, 2D, 3,
			Cases (+4)
November	6	0	FRCRP, Crt Forms, Almanac, Attorney
			Directory, Atl Digest 2d 13A & 13B
December	3	2*	Atl Digest 2d 27C & 27D, Title 12,
			photocopies

- On 5/2/06 Bldg 22 did not send any Inmates for Law Library
- On 9/29/06 Law Library was closed for Paralegal Training
- On 12/8/06 Bldg. 22 did not send any Inmates for Law Library

During the time period that I/M William Booze came to MHU Law Library he requested and received Notary Service 1 time.

During the time period that I/M William Booze came to MHU Law Library he requested photocopies:

July 7, 2006	22 pgs.	Grievance Appeal
July 19, 2006	8 pgs.	Post Conviction Appeal
July 19, 2006	8 pgs.	District Court 1983
July 26, 2006	8 pgs	Medical Grievance
August 10, 2006	2 pgs	Medical Bill
August 14, 2006	8 pgs	Medical Letter
September 26, 2006	57 pgs	Exhibits for Attorney
December 1, 2006	14 pgs	District Court Forma Pauperis & Statement
		of Account

After the switch to law library requests by mail only I/M William Booze has only requested items from MHU Law Library on four occasions. The first was on January 24, 2007. Which was a packaged dropped off during Chow by Security Staff because it was too large for the Law Library box. The second request was on February 26, 2007 for photocopies, which were processed and returned to him on February 26, 2007. The third was on May 8, 2007 for photocopies. I/M Booze was escorted to MHU Law Library by Security Staff during Chow and left items to be photocopied which were completed and returned that day. The final request was logged in to MHU Law Library on 5/14/07 and is a request for photocopies of a District Court Order and for U.S. Marshal 285 forms which will be sent to I/M Booze this date.

EXHIBIT E

Document 16-6

Filed 05/14/2007

Page 2 of 2

1024975

DCC Delaware Correctional Center
Smyrna Landing Road
SMYRNA DE, 19977
Phone No. 302-653-9261

Date: 05/14/2006

DISCIPLINARY REPORT

Disciplinary Type: Class1	Housing Unit E	3ldg 22	IR#	: 1032430		
SBI# i Inma	te Name Inst.	Name	Location Of Inci	dent	Date	Time
00256158 Booze, William H	DC	C	Bldg.22 C Tie	Γ	05/14/2006	09:30
Violations: 2.03/200.106 Creating a	Health, Safety or Fire Hazard	, 2.10/200.2	213 Lying, 2.13/200	0.111 Posses	ssion of Non-	
Dangerous Contraband,	/200.112 Abuse of Prescribed	1 Medication	j			
Witnesses:1.N/A,	2. N/A		3. N/A		•	
	Description of Alle	eged Violat	tion(s)			
May 13, 2006 1600. During Medic One And Has Not Received Them F Through Today On One Medication, Claiming To Be Out Of Are No Longe A Month Ago. His Claims Are Disrup This Have Been Frequent Since His A Reporting Officer: Viiet, Rebecca (C	for Weeks. Medical Docume And For At Least Another 30 or Ordered For Him And He We tive During Medication Pass A Arrival In The Mhu.	entation Sho 0 Days On Vas Informed	ws That Mr. Booz The Remaining 4. d Of This By Nursin	e Was Giver Some Of T ng When The	Sufficient Me The Medication by Were Stopp	edication is He Is ied Over
1	Immediate Ad	ction Taker	1			
Immediate action taken by: Vliet, R	ebecca -Contractors - Medica	al				
Lt. Notified And Report Written	000 0000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 0		* 1			
	Offender Dispo	sition Deta	lls		***************************************	
Disposition: N/A	Date:N/	/A	Time: N/A C	ell secured?	No	
Reason: N/A						
Disposition Of Evidence: All Medica Be Given I	Him His Medication Each Day	. .	o The Medical De	partment The	e Nurses Will	Now
	Approval In					1
] Approved By: Satterfield,	James P (S	Staff Lt./Lt)			
Comments: N/A						
	Shift Supervis	sor Details				
Date Received: 05/14/2006 T			eld, James P			
Shift Supervisor Determination:	1	orrigini	old, editios i			
[] Upon reviewing this Disciplinar revocation of the following privil	y Report, I conclude that the oleges(see reverse side) for	offense may	be properly responsible not to exceed 24	nded to by an hours)	n immediate	
[X] Upon reviewing this Disciplinary Hearing.	Report, I conclude that the o	Cami	Su-1		Disciplinary	1
A		Sati	terfield, James P (Staffttf.7LI)		
I have received a copy of this notice of a hearing and to present evidence on outlined in the Rules of conduct.	n DATE: 5-19-06 T my own behalf. I understand,	IME: 15/ If found gui	and have be	en informed to imposition	of my rights to n of sanctions	have
Braliminany Haarian		68			10	
Preliminary Hearing Officer:	The same of the sa	Offend	ier:			
-, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -	Itterfield, James P		Bo	oze, William	Н	125
ar I all t						

EXHIBIT F

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

WILLIAM H. BOOZE, IV,)
Plaintiff,)
v.) C.A. No. 07-82-GMS
CORRECTIONAL MEDICAL SERVICES, INC., et al,)))
Defendants.)

AFFIDAVIT OF LT. JAMES SATTERFIELD

- I, James Satterfield, having been duly sworn according to law, depose and state as follows:
 - 1. I make this Affidavit based upon personal information.
- 2. I am employed by the State of Delaware's Department of Correction as a Correctional Lieutenant at the Delaware Correctional Center ("DCC") in Smyrna, Delaware.
- 3. I have been a Lieutenant since 2002. My duties include supervising staff and inmates within the prison while enforcing institutional policy, rules and regulations. I review for approval incident reports prepared during my shift. I also conduct area checks of the area for which I supervise. I am in charge of directing the confiscation of contraband in the prison, and detecting security breaches in the system.
- 3. On May 13, 2006, I was the supervisor for the 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. shift. My supervisory duties included Building 22 in MHU, the maximum security housing unit, as well as several other buildings.
 - 4. At approximately 9:30 a.m. on May 13, 2006, the nurse on duty, Rebecca Vliet,

cell. Nurse Vliet prepared a written incident report outlining the institutional violations alleged against William Booze. I told her that I would have the staff to get the medication and bring it back to her at the nurses' station.

- 4. As the area Lieutenant, I approved the written report, and served William Booze with the written report. I informed William Booze of his rights to a disciplinary hearing to address the charges against him. An inventory list was prepared of all of the medications. Willaim Booze did receive a copy of the inventory list. All items were removed from Booze's cell as directed and turned over to Nurse Rebecca Vliet.
- I do not handle disciplinary hearings for the violations inmates have been charged with committing.
- 6. On May 13, 2006, I prepared a disciplinary report documenting the events set forth in this Affidavit.

Lt. James Sätterfield

SWORN AND SUBSCRIBED before me this day of May, 2007.

Notary

Brian D. Engree Notary Public, State of Delaware My Commission Expires June 14, 2008

EXHIBIT G

Incident# 1039652

Case 1:07-cv-00082-GMS Document 16-8 Filed 05/14/2007 Page 2 of 2 Document 16-8 Filed 05/14/2007 Page 2 of 2

Smyrna Landing Road **SMYRNA DE, 19977** Phone#: 302-653-9261

INCIDENT REPORT

Group#: N/A	Type: Inmate Involved	Incident Da	te: <u>02/14/20</u> 0	<u>07</u> Time: <u>02:45</u>	Confidential: No
Facility: DCC	Delaware Correctional Center			Follo	wup Required : No
Incident Locat	ion: <u>22</u>				
Location Desc	ription: A, B, and C Tiers MHU 22				
Violated Condi	tions:				
Description of	Incident:				
MEDICATION F	REFUSAL				
On the abo	ove date and approximate time, the follow	owing inmates ref	used to take	medication as prescr	ibed and directed:
Rodgers, Emma Robinson, Char Heesh, Reese - Booze, William - Gordon, Gary -	00304339 - 00256158				
refusals.	sals were documented by medical staf				
Area Lieutenant	: Lt. Gaddis, Zachary was notified of the Injured Persons	Hospitalized	Incident rep	Nature Of Inju	
N/A		N/A	N/A		
Evidence Type: Discovered By			Secured B	Date Collect sy: <u>N/A</u>	ted: N/A
Type of Force L Restraints Use	••	CAL [] STUN	1TO [] I	HER [] CAPSTU	N [X] NONE
	on Taken: ocumented by medical staff (Lavala, Ka Lt. Gaddis, Zech was notified of the ab				ned all refusals.
Area Lieuteriant.		ndividuals Invol	*	completed	
Person Code	Name	Massach III	SBI#		Title
Staff	Lisa, Glick L		N/A	CO Corporal/Sgt La	arge Inst
nmate	Emmanuel, Rodgers L			N/A	arge mat.
Inmate Emmanuel, Rodgers L Inmate Charles, Robinson M J				N/A	
nmate				N/A	
nmate	William, Booze H		00256158	N/A	
nmate			· Company	N/A	ASTORPHO TELESCOPE DE LA CONTRACTOR DE L
Reporting Office	er: Glick, Lisa L (Co Corporal/Sgt Larg	ge Inst.) Ente	ered By: Glic	k, Lisa L (Co Corpora	I/Sat Large Inst.)
		oproval Informa			
X Approved	Disapproved Date: 02/14/2007	Approved by: G	addis Zacha	ry (Staff Lt /Lt)	
Comments: N/A			addio, Edorid	. J Countries	